



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,079			James Howard Eaton	TUC920020127US1		
45216	7590	03/06/2006	EXAMINER			
KUNZLER			MILLER, BRIAN E			
8 EAST BRO SUITE 600	ADWAY	,		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				2652		

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office	Action Commence	10/627,07	9	EATON, JAMES HOWARD					
Οπισε	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Brian E. M		2652					
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHICHEVER IS  - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH  - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I hay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 fs from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statu by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH .136(a). In no even d will apply and wi te, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ication to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsiv	re to communication(s) filed on								
· ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this	application is in condition for allow			secution as to the	e merits is				
	accordance with the practice under	-	•						
Disposition of Clair	ms								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-22 is/are pending in the application	n.							
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	_								
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-22 are subject to restriction and/or	election req	uirement.						
Application Papers									
9)∏ The specifi	cation is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10)∐ The drawir	g(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ ac	cepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/627,079

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 1-22 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a recording head/system, classified in class 360, subclass 129.

Page 2

- II. Claim 22, drawn to a method of reading data, classified in class 360, subclass 75. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as one not requiring the steps of reading selected tracks and other selected tracks with the selected leading read and trailing elements, respectively.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, due to time constraints of the Office.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2652

- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-7578. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:15am-4:45pm (and every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2652

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian É. Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

BEM February 27, 2006